

(2+1)-dimensional integrable lattice hierarchies related to discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problems

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 13031

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/40/43/012>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.146

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:22

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

(2+1)-dimensional integrable lattice hierarchies related to discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problems

Hon-Wah Tam¹ and Zuo-nong Zhu²

¹ Department of Computer Science, Hong Kong Baptist University, 224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

² Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai, 200240, People's Republic of China

E-mail: znzhu2@yahoo.com.cn

Received 24 April 2007, in final form 6 July 2007

Published 9 October 2007

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/13031

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the (2+1)-dimensional discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problem. By using the Lax technique, three new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchies are constructed. Their reductions yield three (1+1)-dimensional isospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchies due to Mlaszak–Marciniak. We make a comparison between the (1+1)-dimensional discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problem and the third-order nonisospectral problem. We found that the integrable lattice hierarchies related to the discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problem have new characteristics.

PACS number: 02.30.Ik

1. Introduction

As is well known, the investigation of multidimensional integrable systems is always an important and attractive topic. In the continuous three-dimensional case, the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equation [1], arising in many fields of physics, such as fluid mechanics, plasma physics, etc, is an important integrable system. We also know that the self-dual Yang–Mills (SDYM) equation is of great importance in both physics and mathematics [2–4]. It has been found that three-dimensional reductions of the SDYM yield many equations including the KP, modified KP, (2+1)-dimensional N-wave, and Davey–Stewartson equations [5–7]. On the other hand, in a multidimensional discrete case, perhaps the best-known integrable systems are the (2+1)-dimensional Toda lattice and its hierarchy and the (2+1)-dimensional Volterra lattice [8, 9]. In [10], by using an r-matrix formalism, Blaszak and Marciniak (BM)

constructed integrable lattice systems and their bi-Hamiltonian structure, which related to the following m -order discrete isospectral problem:

$$L_m \psi_n = \lambda \psi_n, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$L_m = E^{\alpha+m} + u_{\alpha+m-1} E^{\alpha+m-1} + u_{\alpha+m-2} E^{\alpha+m-2} + u_\alpha E^\alpha \quad (1.2)$$

with $-m < \alpha \leq -1$, and the field function $u_j = u_j(n, t)$ and wave function $\psi_n = \psi(n, t)$ (here n is discrete, and t is continuous), and E is the shift operator in the variable n , defined $E^j f_n = f_{n+j}$. In [11], the m -order discrete isospectral problem is generalized to (2+1) dimensions.

We recall that most of the known integrable systems (continuous or discrete, (1+1)-dimensional or multidimensional) are related to isospectral problems. Nonisospectral scattering problems, of course since the work of Calogero [12], have continued to be the subject of much study, both in the continuous and discrete cases [13–19]. In the continuous case, the first example is due to Calogero [12], and has as a subcase the equation

$$u_{xt} = u_{xxx}y + 4u_x u_{xy} + 2u_{xx} u_y. \quad (1.3)$$

This equation arises as the compatibility condition of the linear system

$$\psi_{xx} + (u_x - \lambda)\psi = 0, \quad \psi_t = 4\lambda\psi_y + 2u_y\psi_x - u_{xy}\psi, \quad (1.4)$$

where the spectral parameter $\lambda = \lambda(y, t)$ satisfies the constraint [13, 14]

$$\lambda_t = 4\lambda\lambda_y. \quad (1.5)$$

Since the appearance of spectral problems (1.4)–(1.5), many papers on continuous (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral linear problems have been published (see, e.g., references in [15]). As is well known, nonisospectral linear problems are of great importance in both physics and mathematics. In physics, the variable coefficients soliton equations related to nonisospectral linear problems, such as the variable coefficient KdV, variable coefficient mKdV, variable coefficient KP, can describe nonlinear waves in non-uniformity media [20–22]. On the other hand, the Painlevé equations (continuous and discrete), arising in many field of physics including statistical mechanics, plasma physics, nonlinear waves, quantum gravity and quantum field theory, can be reviewed as stationary flows of the nonisospectral soliton equations (continuous and discrete) [23–27]. In [19], Levi and Grundland (LG) considered a nonisospectral extension of the spectral problem (1.1) with $m = 3$. The obtained lattice hierarchies related to the third-order spectral problem are the nonisospectral generalizations of the BM three-field lattice hierarchy. However, as we have known, there is little work for multidimensional discrete nonisospectral flows.

In this paper, we will concentrate on the construction of (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral integrable discrete hierarchies. This we do by considering the following (2+1)-dimensional discrete fourth-order nonisospectral linear problem:

$$L_4 \psi_n = \lambda \psi_n, \quad (1.6)$$

where the operator L_4 takes the following form, respectively,

$$L_4 = E + u_0 + u_{-1}E^{-1} + u_{-2}E^{-2} + u_{-3}E^{-3}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$L_4 = E^2 + u_1E + u_0 + u_{-1}E^{-1} + u_{-2}E^{-2}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$L_4 = E^3 + u_2E^2 + u_1E + u_0 + u_{-1}E^{-1}. \quad (1.9)$$

Here, the field function $u_j = u_j(n, t, y)$, the wave function $\psi_n = \psi(n, t, y)$, n is discrete, t and y are continuous, the spectral parameter $\lambda = \lambda(t, y)$, and $\lambda_t \neq 0$. It is obvious that the (2+1)-dimensional discrete fourth-order nonisospectral linear problem is equivalent to the following matrix form:

$$E\psi_n(\lambda) = U_n(u_n, \lambda)\psi_n(\lambda), \tag{1.10}$$

where the field function $u_n = (p_n, q_n, r_n, s_n)^T$, and the matrix $U_n(u_n, \lambda)$ is, respectively,

$$U_n(u_n, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ p_n & q_n & r_n + \lambda & s_n \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$U_n(u_n, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ p_n & q_n + \lambda & r_n & s_n \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.12}$$

$$U_n(u_n, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ p_n + \lambda & q_n & r_n & s_n \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.13}$$

We assume that the time evolution of the wave function ψ_n satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d\psi_n(\lambda)}{dt} = \omega(\lambda)\frac{d\psi_n(\lambda)}{dy} + V_n^{(m)}(u_n, \lambda)\psi_n(\lambda), \tag{1.14}$$

and the spectral parameter $\lambda = \lambda(t, y)$ satisfies a nonisospectral condition of the form

$$\lambda_t = \omega(\lambda)\lambda_y + \beta(\lambda), \tag{1.15}$$

where $\omega(\lambda)$ and $\beta(\lambda)$ are two functions to be specified. We can see that the spectral problem (1.10) and (1.14) is an extension of the discrete third-order nonisospectral problem to the (2+1)-dimensional and higher-order case and the spectral problem is also the discrete version of the (2+1)-dimensional continuous spectral problem (1.4)–(1.5). By using the compatibility condition of the system (1.10) and (1.14) with (1.15)

$$\frac{\partial U_n}{\partial t} + \beta(\lambda)\frac{\partial U_n}{\partial \lambda} - \omega(\lambda)\frac{\partial U_n}{\partial y} = V_{n+1}^{(m)}U_n - U_nV_n^{(m)}, \tag{1.16}$$

and the Lax technique, we will construct three new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchies. We will show that their reductions yield (1+1)-dimensional isospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchies due to BM. We will make a comparison between (1+1)-dimensional discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problems and third-order nonisospectral problems. We find that integrable lattice hierarchies related to the discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problems have new characteristics. We remark here that the lattice equation hierarchy derived from the linear spectral equation is integrable in the Lax sense.

2. New (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchies

In this section, we construct new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchies by considering the (2+1)-dimensional discrete nonisospectral linear problem (1.10) and (1.14). Our aim is to seek the proper matrix $V_n^{(m)}(\lambda) = (v_{ij}^{(m)}(n, \lambda))_{4 \times 4}$ with $v_{ij} = v_{ij}(A(\lambda), B(\lambda), C(\lambda), D(\lambda))$ such that the nonisospectral discrete zero curvature equation (1.16) yields the (2+1)-dimensional integrable lattice hierarchy.

2.1. New (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchy related to the nonisospectral linear problem (1.10) and (1.14) with (1.11)

Let us first consider the (2+1) discrete nonisospectral linear problem (1.10) and (1.14) with (1.11). A new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral integrable lattice hierarchy related to the spectral problem will be constructed. A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{11} &= -(E^2 + E^{-1})(pA + qB) - E^{-2}(r + \lambda)C - (E^{-2} + E^{-1} + 1)sD, \\
 v_{12} &= E^{-2}C - E^{-1}(c + \lambda)B, & v_{13} &= E^{-1}B, & v_{14} &= sA, \\
 v_{21} &= pB + EsA, & v_{22} &= qB + EpA + E(v_{11} - pA), \\
 v_{23} &= E^{-1}C, & v_{24} &= sB, \\
 v_{31} &= pC + EsB, & v_{32} &= qC + EpB + E^2sA, \\
 v_{33} &= (r + \lambda)C + EqB + E^2pA + E^2(v_{11} - pA), & v_{34} &= sC, \\
 v_{41} &= E^{-3}C - E^{-2}(r + \lambda)B - E^{-1}qA, \\
 v_{42} &= E^{-2}B - E^{-1}(r + \lambda)A, & v_{43} &= E^{-1}A, \\
 v_{44} &= E^{-1}(v_{11} - pA),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$K\bar{\varphi} - \lambda J\bar{\varphi} = F^{(1)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi} = (A(\lambda), B(\lambda), C(\lambda), D(\lambda))^T, \quad F^{(1)} = u_t - \omega(\lambda)u_y + \beta(\lambda)\theta.$$

Here A, B, C and D are functions of the field u_n and spectral λ , and vector $\theta = (0, 0, 1, 0)^T$, and K and J are two skew-symmetric matrix operators given by

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} & k_{14} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} & k_{24} \\ k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33} & k_{34} \\ k_{41} & k_{42} & k_{43} & k_{44} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Es - sE^{-2} & p(1 - E^{-2}) & 0 \\ E^2s - sE^{-1} & Ep - pE^{-1} & q(1 - E^{-1}) & 0 \\ (E^2 - 1)p & (E - 1)q & 0 & (E^3 - 1)s \\ 0 & 0 & s(1 - E^{-3}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_{11} &= p(E^{-2} - E)(1 + E)p - qEs + sE^{-1}q, \\
 k_{12} &= p(E^{-2} - 1)(E + 1)q - rEs + sE^{-2}r, \\
 k_{13} &= p(E^{-2} - 1)r + Es - sE^{-3}, & k_{14} &= p(E^{-3} - 1)(E + E^2 + E^3)s, \\
 k_{21} &= q(1 - E^2)(1 + E^{-1})p - rE^2s + sE^{-1}r, \\
 k_{22} &= q(E^{-1} - E)q + pE^{-1}r - rEp + E^2s - sE^{-2}, \\
 k_{23} &= Ep - pE^{-2} + q(E^{-1} - 1)r, & k_{24} &= q(1 - E^3)(1 + E^{-1})s, \\
 k_{31} &= r(1 - E^2)p + E^3s - sE^{-1}, & k_{32} &= E^2p - pE^{-1} - r(E - 1)q, \\
 k_{33} &= Eq - qE^{-1}, & k_{34} &= r(1 - E^3)s, & k_{41} &= s(1 - E^3)(E^{-1} + E^{-2} + E^{-3})s, \\
 k_{42} &= s(E^{-3} - 1)(1 + E)q, & k_{43} &= s(E^{-3} - 1)r, \\
 k_{44} &= s(E^{-3} - E)(1 + E + E^2)s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

By the Lax technique, we assume expansions for A, B, C and D of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{j=-1}^m a_j(n, t, y)\lambda^{m-j}, & B &= \sum_{j=-1}^m b_j(n, t, y)\lambda^{m-j}, \\ C &= \sum_{j=-1}^m c_j(n, t, y)\lambda^{m-j}, & D &= \sum_{j=-1}^m d_j(n, t, y)\lambda^{m-j}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and for $\omega(\lambda)$ and $\beta(\lambda)$ of the form

$$\omega(\lambda) = \sum_{j=-2}^{m-1} \omega_j^{(1)}\lambda^{m-j}, \quad \beta(\lambda) = \sum_{j=-2}^m \beta_j^{(1)}\lambda^{m-j}. \tag{2.7}$$

Substituting in equations (2.2), and separating different powers of λ , we obtain the equations

$$J(a_{-1}, b_{-1}, c_{-1}, d_{-1})^T = \omega_{-2}^{(1)}u_y - \beta_{-2}^{(1)}\theta \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$K\wp_{j-1} = J\wp_j - F_{j-1}^{(1)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\wp_j = (a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j)^T, \quad F_j^{(1)} = \omega_j^{(1)}u_y - \beta_j^{(1)}\theta. \tag{2.10}$$

Then the discrete zero curvature equation (1.16) yields the following four-field lattice hierarchy:

$$(u_n)_{t_m} = X_m, \quad m \geq -1, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$X_m = K\wp_m - \beta_m^{(1)}\theta.$$

Equations (2.8)–(2.9) can be solved as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{-1} \\ b_{-1} \\ c_{-1} \\ d_{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \omega_{-2}^{(1)}J^{-1}u_y - \beta_{-2}^{(1)}J^{-1}\theta + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha_{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\wp_j = \omega_{j-1}^{(1)}J^{-1}u_y + J^{-1}K\wp_{j-1} - \beta_{j-1}^{(1)}J^{-1}\theta + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, \tag{2.13}$$

where J^{-1} , the inverse operator of J , is given by

$$J^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & (E^2s - sE^{-1})^{-1} & 0 & J_{14} \\ (Es - sE^{-2})^{-1} & 0 & 0 & J_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1 - E^{-3})^{-1}s^{-1} \\ J_{41} & J_{42} & s^{-1}(E^3 - 1)^{-1} & J_{44} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.14}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{11} &= (E^2s - sE^{-1})^{-1}(pE^{-1} - Ep)(Es - sE^{-2})^{-1}, \\
 J_{14} &= (E^2s - sE^{-1})^{-1}(pE^{-1} - Ep)(sE^{-2} - Es)^{-1}[p(E^2 + E)\mathfrak{R}s^{-1}] \\
 &\quad - (E^2s - sE^{-1})^{-1}[q\mathfrak{R}E^2s^{-1}], \\
 J_{24} &= (sE^{-2} - Es)^{-1}[p(E^2 + E)\mathfrak{R}s^{-1}], \\
 J_{41} &= s^{-1}\mathfrak{R}(E + 1)[p(sE^{-1} - E^2s)^{-1}(pE^{-1} - Ep)(Es - sE^{-2})^{-1}] \\
 &\quad - s^{-1}\mathfrak{R}[q(Es - sE^{-2})^{-1}], \\
 J_{42} &= s^{-1}(E + 1)\mathfrak{R}[p(sE^{-1} - E^2s)^{-1}], \\
 J_{44} &= -s^{-1}\mathfrak{R}[(E + 1)pJ_{14} + qJ_{24}],
 \end{aligned}$$

where the operator $\mathfrak{R} = (E^2 + E + 1)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (E^{3j} - E^{3j+1})$. Setting $Q = KJ^{-1}$, our lattice hierarchy (2.11) can be written as

$$(u_n)_{t_m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} \omega_{m-j}^{(1)} Q^j u_{n,y} + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \alpha_{m-j} Q^j g_n - \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \beta_{m-j}^{(1)} Q^j \theta, \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$g_n = \begin{pmatrix} p_n(r_{n-2} - r_n) + s_{n+1} - s_n \\ p_{n+1} - p_n + q_n(r_{n-1} - r_n) \\ q_{n+1} - q_n \\ s_n(r_{n-3} - r_n) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.16}$$

Equation (2.15) is a new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchy, which is the generalization of a (1+1)-dimensional isospectral BM four-field lattice hierarchy. The first term of the right-hand-side of the hierarchy corresponds to an extension of the BM lattice hierarchy to (2+1) dimensions; the second term consists of the standard isospectral BM four-field lattice flows. The third term consists of additional (1+1)-dimensional nonisospectral terms. It is worth remarking here that the structure of the (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral lattice hierarchy is new and interesting. Under the reduction $\partial_y = 0$, the lattice hierarchy reduces to (1+1)-dimensional lattice hierarchy

$$(u_n)_{t_m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \alpha_{m-j} Q^j g_n - \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \beta_{m-j}^{(1)} Q^j \theta, \tag{2.17}$$

which is a nonisospectral extension of the BM four-field lattice hierarchy. We give now the first set of equations of our lattice hierarchy (2.17). Setting $m = -1$, $\alpha_{-1} = 1$, and $m = 0$, $\alpha_{-1} = 1$, $\alpha_0 = 0$, and $\beta_{-2}^{(1)} = a$, we have, respectively,

$$(u_n)_t = g_n - \beta_{-1}^{(1)}\theta + ae_n^{(1)} \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$(u_n)_t = Qg_n - (\beta_0^{(1)} + aQ^2)\theta + \beta_{-1}^{(1)}e_n^{(1)}, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$e_n^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 3p_n \\ 2q_n \\ r_n \\ 4s_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad Qg_n = \begin{pmatrix} p_n(q_{n-2} + q_{n-1} - q_n - q_{n+1} - r_{n-2}^2 + r_n^2) \\ +s_n(r_{n-3} + r_{n-2}) - s_{n+1}(r_n + r_{n+1}) \\ q_n(q_{n-1} - q_{n+1} - r_{n-1}^2 + r_n^2) + p_n(r_{n-2} + r_{n-1}) \\ -p_{n+1}(r_n + r_{n+1}) + s_{n+2} - s_n \\ p_{n+2} - p_n - q_{n+1}(r_n + r_{n+1}) + q_n(r_{n-1} + r_n) \\ s_n(q_{n-3} + q_{n-2} - q_n - q_{n+1} - r_{n-3}^2 + r_n^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under the reductions $\partial_y = 0$ and $\lambda_t = 0$, the last two equations lead to the first equations of the (1+1)-dimensional isospectral B-M four-field lattice hierarchy.

2.2. New (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchy related to the nonisospectral linear problem (1.10) and (1.14) with (1.12)

In this subsection, we will discuss the (2+1) discrete nonisospectral linear problem (1.10) and (1.14) with (1.12). Another new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchy related to the spectral problem will be obtained. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{11} &= -E^{-1}[(q + \lambda)B + (E + 1)^{-1}(pA + rC) + sD], \\
 v_{12} &= E^{-2}C - E^{-1}rB, \quad v_{13} = E^{-1}B, \quad v_{14} = sA, \\
 v_{21} &= pB + EsA, \quad v_{22} = -E^{-1}[(E + 1)^{-1}(pA + rC) + sD], \quad v_{23} = E^{-1}C, \\
 v_{24} &= sB, \quad v_{31} = pC + EsB, \quad v_{32} = (q + \lambda)C + EpB + E^2sA, \\
 v_{33} &= (E + 1)^{-1}(rC - EpA) - E^{-1}sD, \quad v_{34} = sC, \\
 v_{41} &= E^{-3}C - E^{-2}rB - E^{-1}(q + \lambda)A, \quad v_{42} = E^{-2}B - E^{-1}rA, \\
 v_{43} &= E^{-1}A, \quad v_{44} = -E^{-2}[(E + (E + 1)^{-1})pA + (q + \lambda)B + sD + (E + 1)^{-1}rC]
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$H\wp - \lambda P\wp = F^{(2)}, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\wp = (D(\lambda), A(\lambda), B(\lambda), C(\lambda))^T, \quad F^{(2)} = v_t - \omega(\lambda)v_y + \beta(\lambda)\theta.$$

Here $v = (s_n, p_n, q_n, r_n)^T$, and H and P are two skew-symmetric matrix operators described as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} s(E^{-2} - E^2)s & s(E^{-2} - E)p & s(E^{-2} - 1)q & s(E^{-2} - E^{-1})r \\ p(E^{-1} - E^2)s & h_{22} & p(E^{-1} - 1)q + sE^{-2}r - rEs & h_{24} \\ q(1 - E^2)s & q(1 - E)p - rE^2s + sE^{-1}r & E^2s - sE^{-2} + pE^{-1}r - rEp & Ep - pE^{-2} \\ r(E - E^2)s & h_{42} & E^2p - pE^{-1} & h_{44} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.22}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{22} &= p(E + 1)^{-1}(E^{-1} - E^2)p - qEs + sE^{-1}q, \\
 h_{24} &= Es - sE^{-3} + p(E^{-1} - 1)(E + 1)^{-1}r, \\
 h_{42} &= E^3s - sE^{-1} + r(E - E^2)(E + 1)^{-1}p, \\
 h_{44} &= Eq - qE^{-1} + r(E - 1)(E + 1)^{-1}r
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & s(1 - E^{-2}) & 0 \\ 0 & Es - sE^{-1} & p(1 - E^{-1}) & 0 \\ (E^2 - 1)s & (E - 1)p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E^{-1} - E \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.23}$$

Assuming the same expansions for A, B, C, D , described by equation (2.6), and ω and β given by equation (2.7) with the replace $\omega_j^{(1)} \rightarrow \omega_j^{(2)}$ and $\beta_j^{(1)} \rightarrow \beta_j^{(2)}$, and then separating different powers of λ , we obtain the equations

$$P(d_{-1}, a_{-1}, b_{-1}, c_{-1})^T = \omega_{-2}^{(2)}v_y - \beta_{-2}^{(2)}\theta \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$H\wp_{j-1} = P\wp_j - F_{j-1}^{(2)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\wp_j = (d_j, a_j, b_j, c_j)^T, \quad F_j^{(2)} = \omega_j^{(2)}v_y - \beta_j^{(2)}\theta. \quad (2.26)$$

Then the discrete zero curvature equation (1.16) yields the following four-field lattice hierarchy:

$$(u_n)_{t_m} = Y_m, \quad m \geq -1, \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$Y_m = H\wp_m - \beta_m^{(2)}\theta.$$

We solve equations (2.24)–(2.25) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{-1} \\ a_{-1} \\ b_{-1} \\ c_{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \omega_{-2}^{(2)}P^{-1}v_y - \frac{1}{2}s^{-1}\beta_{-2}^{(2)}n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha_{-1} \\ \gamma_{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.28)$$

and

$$\wp_j = \omega_{j-1}^{(2)}P^{-1}v_y + P^{-1}H\wp_{j-1} - \frac{1}{2}s^{-1}\beta_{j-1}^{(2)}n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha_j \\ \gamma_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & s^{-1}(E+1)^{-1}[p(sE^{-1}-Es)^{-1}] & s^{-1}(E^2-1)^{-1} & 0 \\ (sE^{-1}-Es)^{-1}[p(1+E^{-1})^{-1}s^{-1}] & (Es-sE^{-1})^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ (1-E^{-2})^{-1}s^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (E^{-1}-E)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.30)$$

with

$$p_{11} = s^{-1}(E+1)^{-1}[p(Es-sE^{-1})^{-1}](p(E^{-1}+1)^{-1}s^{-1}).$$

Setting $W = HP^{-1}$, our lattice hierarchy (2.27) can be written as

$$(v_n)_{t_m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} \omega_{m-j}^{(2)}W^j v_{n,y} + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (\alpha_{m-j}W^j\phi_n + \gamma_{m-j}W^j\chi_n) - \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \beta_{m-j}^{(2)}W^j\theta, \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\phi_n = \begin{pmatrix} s_n(q_{n-2} - q_n) \\ p_n(q_{n-1} - q_n) + s_n r_{n-2} - r_n s_{n+1} \\ s_{n+2} - s_n + p_n r_{n-1} - r_n p_{n+1} \\ p_{n+2} - p_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.32)$$

$$\chi_n = \begin{pmatrix} s_n(r_{n-2} - r_{n-1}) \\ s_{n+1} - s_n + p_n(E+1)^{-1}(r_{n-1} - r_n) \\ p_{n+1} - p_n \\ q_{n+1} - q_n + r_n(E+1)^{-1}(r_{n+1} - r_n) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.33)$$

The structure of the (2+1) nonisospectral lattice hierarchy is the same as that of the lattice hierarchy (2.15). Under the reduction $\partial_y = 0$, the lattice hierarchy leads to another (1+1)-dimensional nonisospectral BM four-field lattice hierarchy:

$$(v_n)_{t_m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (\alpha_{m-j} W^j \phi_n + \gamma_{m-j} W^j \chi_n) - \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \beta_{m-j}^{(2)} W^j \theta. \tag{2.34}$$

Let us write down the first members of our lattice hierarchy (2.34). Setting $m = -1, \alpha_{-1} = 1, \gamma_{-1} = 0$ or $m = -1, \alpha_{-1} = 0, \gamma_{-1} = 1$, and $\beta_{-2}^{(2)} = 2b$, we have, respectively,

$$(v_n)_t = \phi_n - \beta_{-1}^{(2)} \theta + b e_n^{(2)} \tag{2.35}$$

and

$$(v_n)_t = \chi_n - \beta_{-1}^{(2)} \theta + b e_n^{(2)}, \tag{2.36}$$

where $e_n^{(2)} = (4s_n, 3p_n, 2q_n, r_n)^T$. Under the reductions $\lambda_t = 0$, the last two equations lead to the first equations of another (1+1)-dimensional isospectral BM four-field lattice hierarchy.

2.3. New (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchy related to the nonisospectral linear problem (1.10) and (1.14) with (1.13)

In this subsection, the third new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchy related to nonisospectral linear problem (1.10) and (1.14) with (1.13) will be derived. From the discrete zero curve equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{11} &= -\Re[(E + 1)qB + rC + sD], & v_{12} &= E^{-2}C - E^{-1}rB, \\ v_{13} &= E^{-1}B, & v_{14} &= sA, & v_{21} &= (p + \lambda)B + EsA, & v_{22} &= qB + EV_{11}, \\ v_{23} &= E^{-1}C, & v_{24} &= sB, & v_{31} &= (p + \lambda)C + EsB, \\ v_{32} &= E^2sA + E(p + \lambda)B + qC, & v_{33} &= EqB + rC + E^2V_{11}, & v_{34} &= sC, \\ v_{41} &= E^{-3}C - E^{-2}rB - E^{-1}qA, & v_{42} &= E^{-2}B - E^{-1}rA, \\ v_{43} &= E^{-1}A, & v_{44} &= E^{-1}V_{11} - E^{-1}(p + \lambda)A \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

and

$$L\wp - \lambda M\wp = F^{(3)}, \tag{2.38}$$

where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} s(E^{-1} - E^3)\Re s & s(E^{-1} - 1)p & s(E^{-1} - E)\Re q & s(E^{-1} - 1)\Re r \\ p(1 - E)s & sE^{-1}q - qEs & sE^{-2}r - rEs & Es - sE^{-3} \\ q(E - E^3)\Re s & sE^{-1}r - rE^2s & E^2s - sE^{-2} + pE^{-1}r - rEp + q(E^2 - 1)\Re q & Ep - pE^{-2} + q(E - 1)\Re r \\ r(E^2 - E^3)\Re s & E^3s - sE^{-1} & E^2p - pE^{-1} + r(E^2 - E)\Re q & Eq - qE^{-1} + r(E^2 - 1)\Re r \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.39}$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s(1 - E^{-1}) & 0 & 0 \\ (E - 1)s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & rE - E^{-1}r & E^{-2} - E \\ 0 & 0 & E^{-1} - E^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.40}$$

and

$$F^{(3)} = v_t - \omega(\lambda)v_y + \beta(\lambda)\zeta,$$

with $\zeta = (0, 1, 0, 0)^T$. Assuming expansions for A, B, C , and D of the form given by (2.6) and $\omega(\lambda)$ and $\beta(\lambda)$ of the form given by (2.7) with the change $\omega_j^{(1)} \rightarrow \omega_j^{(3)}$ and $\beta_j^{(1)} \rightarrow \beta_j^{(3)}$, and separating different powers of λ , we obtain the following equations:

$$M(d_{-1}, a_{-1}, b_{-1}, c_{-1})^T = \omega_{-2}^{(3)} u_y - \beta_{-2}^{(3)} \zeta \quad (2.41)$$

and

$$L\wp_{j-1} = M\wp_j - F_{j-1}^{(3)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (2.42)$$

We can see that the discrete zero curvature equation (1.16) yields the following four-field lattice hierarchy:

$$(v_n)_{t_m} = Z_m, \quad m \geq -1, \quad (2.43)$$

where

$$Z_m = L\wp_m - \beta_m^{(3)} \zeta.$$

Equations (2.41)–(2.42) can be solved as

$$(d_{-1}, a_{-1}, b_{-1}, c_{-1})^T = e_{-1} + \omega_{-2}^{(3)} M^{-1} v_y - s^{-1} \beta_{-2}^{(3)} n(1, 0, 0, 0)^T, \quad (2.44)$$

where $e_{-1} = (0, \mu_{-1}, \gamma_{-1}, \eta_{-1} + \gamma_{-1} \wp_{n+1})^T$, and

$$\wp_j = M^{-1} L\wp_{j-1} + e_j + \omega_{j-1}^{(3)} M^{-1} v_y - s^{-1} \beta_{j-1}^{(3)} n(1, 0, 0, 0)^T, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (2.45)$$

with $e_j = (0, \mu_j, \gamma_j, \eta_j + \gamma_j \wp_{n+1})^T$. Here the matrix operator M^{-1} has the form

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s^{-1}(E-1)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ E(E-1)^{-1}s^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1-E^3)^{-1}E \\ 0 & 0 & (1-E^3)^{-1}E^2 & (1-E^3)^{-1}E^2(rE-E^{-1}r)(E^3-1)^{-1}E \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.46)$$

Setting $R = LM^{-1}$, we can write the lattice hierarchy (2.43) as

$$(v_n)_{t_m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+2} \omega_{m-j}^{(3)} R^j v_{n,y} + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} R^j L e_{m-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \beta_{m-j}^{(3)} R^j \zeta. \quad (2.47)$$

Under the reduction $\partial_y = 0$, it yields the third new (1+1)-dimensional nonisospectral B-M four-field lattice hierarchy:

$$(v_n)_{t_m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} R^j L e_{m-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{m+2} \beta_{m-j}^{(3)} R^j \zeta. \quad (2.48)$$

Let us write down the first members of our lattice hierarchies (2.47) and (2.48). Setting $m = -1$, and $\beta_{-2}^{(3)} = 3c$, we have, respectively,

$$(v_n)_t = L e_{-1} + \omega_{-2}^{(3)} R v_{n,y} + f_n \quad (2.49)$$

and

$$(v_n)_t = L e_{-1} + f_n, \quad (2.50)$$

where

$$f_n = c e_n^{(2)} - \beta_{-1}^{(3)} \zeta.$$

Furthermore, choosing $\mu_{-1} = 1, \gamma_{-1} = \eta_{-1} = 0$, or $\mu_{-1} = \gamma_{-1} = 0, \eta_{-1} = 1$, or $\mu_{-1} = \eta_{-1} = 0, \gamma_{-1} = 1$, and noting $(E^2 + E + 1)^{-1}n = (n - 1)/3$, we obtain the following three lattice equation, respectively,

$$(v_n)_t = \begin{pmatrix} s_n(p_{n-1} - p_n) \\ q_{n-1}s_n - q_n s_{n+1} \\ r_{n-1}s_n - r_n s_{n+2} \\ s_{n+3} - s_n \end{pmatrix} + f_n, \tag{2.51}$$

$$(v_n)_t = \begin{pmatrix} s_n \mathfrak{R}(r_{n-1} - r_n) \\ s_{n+1} - s_n \\ p_{n+1} - p_n + q_n \mathfrak{R}(r_{n+1} - r_n) \\ q_{n+1} - q_n + r_n \mathfrak{R}(r_{n+2} - r_n) \end{pmatrix} + f_n \tag{2.52}$$

and

$$(v_n)_t = \begin{pmatrix} s_n \mathfrak{R}(q_{n-1} - q_{n+1}) + s_n(E - 1)\mathfrak{R}(r_{n-1}\mathfrak{R}r_n) \\ r_{n-2}s_n - r_n s_{n+1} + s_{n+1}\mathfrak{R}r_{n+2} - s_n \mathfrak{R}r_{n-2} \\ p_n r_{n-1} - r_n p_{n+1} - s_n + s_{n+2} + q_n(E - 1)\mathfrak{R}[(E + 1)q_n + r_n \mathfrak{R}r_{n+1}] \\ - p_n \mathfrak{R}r_{n-1} + p_{n+1}\mathfrak{R}r_{n+2} \\ p_{n+2} - p_n + r_n \mathfrak{R}(E^2 - 1)(q_n + r_n \mathfrak{R}r_{n+1}) \\ + q_{n+1}\mathfrak{R}r_{n+2} - q_n \mathfrak{R}r_n \end{pmatrix} + f_n. \tag{2.53}$$

Under the isospectral case, the last three equations become the first seed equations of the third (1+1)- isospectral BM four-field lattice hierarchy.

3. A comparison between the discrete fourth-order spectral problem and the discrete third-order spectral problem

In this section, we make a comparison between the discrete fourth-order spectral problem and the discrete third-order spectral problem. First we note that in the special case $s_n = 0$, the discrete fourth-order spectral problem (1.10) with (1.11) and (1.12) reduces to the corresponding discrete third-order spectral problem, and thus the obtained (2+1) four-field nonisospectral lattice hierarchies (2.15) and (2.31) reduces to (2+1) three-field nonisospectral lattice hierarchies, respectively. However, the discrete fourth-order spectral problem (1.10) with (1.13) cannot reduce to a proper discrete third-order spectral problem. Let us consider the first members (2.18) and (2.35) of (1+1)-dimensional nonisospectral lattice hierarchies (2.17) and (2.34). In the special $s_n = 0$, the two four-field lattice equations reduce to the following three-field lattice equations, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_n &= p_n(r_{n-2} - r_n + 3a) \\ \dot{q}_n &= p_{n+1} - p_n + q_n(r_{n-1} - r_n + 2a) \\ \dot{r}_n &= q_{n+1} - q_n + ar_n - \beta_{-1}^{(1)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_n &= p_n(q_{n-1} - q_n + 3b) \\ \dot{q}_n &= p_n r_{n-1} - p_{n+1} r_n + 2bq_n - \beta_{-1}^{(2)} \\ \dot{r}_n &= p_{n+2} - p_n + br_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Applying the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= -e^{\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_{n-2}} \\ q_n &= -\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} + a\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{3}{4}a^2n(n-1) + \beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \\ r_n &= \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} + \frac{3}{2}an \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

to equation (3.1) and the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= e^{\alpha_{n-1}-2\alpha_n+\alpha_{n+1}} \\ q_n &= \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} + 3bn \\ r_n &= \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_{n+1}}{dt^2} - 2b\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} + 3b^2n(n+1) - \beta_{-1}^{(2)}(n+1) \right) e^{2\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

to equation (3.2), we obtain, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} - \frac{3}{2}a\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{2}a^2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} &= \frac{3}{8}a^3n(n-1) - \frac{1}{2}a\beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \\ &+ \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} - a\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{3}{4}a^2n(n-1) - \beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \right) \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) \\ &+ e^{\alpha_{n+2}-\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n+\alpha_{n-1}} - e^{\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_{n-2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} - 3b\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} + 2b^2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} &= 3b^3n(n-1) - \beta_{-1}^{(2)}bn \\ &+ \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} - 2b\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + 3b^2n(n-1) - \beta_{-1}^{(2)}n \right) \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) \\ &+ e^{\alpha_{n+2}-\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n+\alpha_{n-1}} - e^{\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_{n-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The two equations are higher-order differential-difference equations of the Toda type with an n -dependent coefficient. Under the isospectral case, the two equations yield a equation of the Toda type:

$$\frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} = \frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) + e^{\alpha_{n+2}-\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n+\alpha_{n-1}} - e^{\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_{n-2}} \quad (3.7)$$

This conclusion is the same as that observed by LG in [19]. However, for the nonisospectral case, equation (3.5) is different from equation (3.6), though in the special case $b = a/2$, $\beta_{-1}^{(1)} = \beta_{-1}^{(2)}$, the two equations are same. Let us turn to four-field nonisospectral lattice equations (2.18), (2.35), and (2.51). We find that the structures of the four-field nonisospectral lattice equations are very complicated. In fact, it is very difficult to write the four-field nonisospectral lattice equations as evolution equations for the one-field $\alpha_n(t)$. Applying the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= e^{\alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-3}+\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+1}} \\ r_n &= \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{4}{3}an \\ q_n &= \frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} - a\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{2}{3}a^2n(n-1) + \beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \\ p_n &= (E-1)^{-1} \left[\frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} - \frac{5}{3}a\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} + \frac{2}{3}a^2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{4}{9}a^3n(n-1) - \frac{2}{3}a\beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} - a\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{2}{3}a^2n(n-1) + \beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \right) \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

to equation (2.18) and the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n &= e^{\alpha_{n-1}-2\alpha_n+\alpha_{n+1}} \\
 p_n &= \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} + 4cn \\
 q_n &= \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_{n+1}}{dt^2} - 3c \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} + 6c^2n(n+1) - \beta_{-1}^{(3)}n \right) e^{2\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+2}} \\
 r_n &= e^{\alpha_{n+1}+\alpha_{n+2}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+3}} (E-1)^{-1} \left[\left(2c + \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_{n+2}}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n+3}}{dt} \right) \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^2} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 3c \frac{d\alpha_{n+2}}{dt} + 6c^2(n+2)(n+1) - \beta_{-1}^{(3)}(n+1) \right) - \frac{d^3\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^3} + 3c \frac{d^2\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^2} \right] \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

to equation (2.51), we have, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E-1)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} &\left[\frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} - \frac{5}{3}a \frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} + \frac{2}{3}a^2 \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{4}{9}a^3n(n-1) - \frac{2}{3}a\beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} - a \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{2}{3}a^2n(n-1) + \beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \right) \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) \right] \\
 &= \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_{n-2}}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} + \frac{1}{3}a \right) \\
 &\quad \times (E-1)^{-1} \left[\frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} - \frac{5}{3}a \frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} + \frac{2}{3}a^2 \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{4}{9}a^3n(n-1) - \frac{2}{3}a\beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} - a \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{2}{3}a^2n(n-1) + \beta_{-1}^{(1)}n \right) \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) \right] \\
 &\quad + e^{\alpha_{n-1}-\alpha_{n-2}+\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n+2}} - e^{\alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-3}+\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+1}} \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} &\left[e^{\alpha_{n+1}+\alpha_{n+2}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+3}} (E-1)^{-1} \left[\left(2c + \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_{n+2}}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n+3}}{dt} \right) \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^2} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 3c \frac{d\alpha_{n+2}}{dt} + 6c^2(n+2)(n+1) - \beta_{-1}^{(3)}(n+1) \right) - \frac{d^3\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^3} + 3c \frac{d^2\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^2} \right] \right] \\
 &= c e^{\alpha_{n+1}+\alpha_{n+2}-\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+3}} (E-1)^{-1} \left[\left(2c + \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_{n+2}}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n+3}}{dt} \right) \left(\frac{d^2\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^2} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 3c \frac{d\alpha_{n+2}}{dt} + 6c^2(n+2)(n+1) - \beta_{-1}^{(3)}(n+1) \right) - \frac{d^3\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^3} + 3c \frac{d^2\alpha_{n+2}}{dt^2} \right] \\
 &\quad + e^{\alpha_{n+2}-2\alpha_{n+3}+\alpha_{n+4}} - e^{\alpha_{n-1}-2\alpha_n+\alpha_{n+1}}. \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the isospectral case, the last two equations reduce to, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E-1)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} &\left[\frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} + \frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) \right] \\
 &= \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_{n-2}}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} - \frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} \right) \\
 &\quad \times (E-1)^{-1} \left[\frac{d^3\alpha_n}{dt^3} + \frac{d^2\alpha_n}{dt^2} \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2\frac{d\alpha_n}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{dt} \right) \right] \\
 &\quad + e^{\alpha_{n-1}-\alpha_{n-2}+\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n+2}} - e^{\alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-3}+\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+1}} \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ e^{\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+2} - \alpha_n - \alpha_{n+3}} (E - 1)^{-1} \left[\frac{d^2 \alpha_{n+2}}{dt^2} \left(\frac{d\alpha_{n+1}}{dt} - 2 \frac{d\alpha_{n+2}}{dt} + \frac{d\alpha_{n+3}}{dt} \right) - \frac{d^3 \alpha_{n+2}}{dt^3} \right] \right\} \\ = e^{\alpha_{n+2} - 2\alpha_{n+3} + \alpha_{n+4}} - e^{\alpha_{n-1} - 2\alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We can see that the nonisospectral lattice equations (3.10) and (3.11) and isospectral lattice equations (3.12) and (3.13) are nonlocal. As for the four-field nonisospectral lattice equation (2.35), we have not found its form of one-field $\alpha_n(t)$, though its reduction is a variable coefficient Toda-type equation. We thus conclude that the discrete four-order spectral problem is more complicated than the discrete three-order spectral problem.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have discussed completely (2+1)-dimensional discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problems and constructed three new (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral four-field integrable lattice hierarchies, which generalize (1+1)-dimensional isospectral BM four-field integrable lattice hierarchies to (2+1) dimensions and nonisospectral case. By making a comparison between the (1+1)-dimensional discrete fourth-order nonisospectral problem and discrete the third-order nonisospectral problem, we found that lattice hierarchies related to the fourth-order nonisospectral problem have new characteristics. We will further investigate the obtained (2+1)-dimensional nonisospectral lattice hierarchies including their physical applications, and the other integrability, such as the Hamiltonian structures, infinitely many conservation laws and soliton solutions.

Acknowledgments

The work of HWT is supported by the Research Grant Council of Hong Kong under grant no HKBU2016/05P, that of ZNZ by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant no 10671125 and by the Shanghai Pujiang Program, and also in part by the Ministry of Education and Science of Spain under contract no MTM2006-14603 and by the Junta de Castilla y León under contract no SA011/04. We are also very grateful to the referees for their useful remarks and suggestions on the original manuscript.

References

- [1] Kadomtsev B B and Petviashvili V I 1970 On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersing media *Sov. Phys.—Dokl* **15** 539–41
- [2] Mason L J and Newman E T 1989 A connection between the Einstein and Yang–Mills equations *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** 659–68
- [3] Donaldson S K 1983 An application of gauge theory to the topology of 4-manifolds *J. Diff. Geom.* **18** 269–316
- [4] Rajaraman R 1989 *Solitons and Instantons: An Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in Quantum Field Theory* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [5] Manakov S V and Zakharov V E 1981 Three-dimensional model of relativistic-invariant field theory, integrable by the inverse scattering transform *Lett. Math. Phys.* **5** 247–53
- [6] Ablowitz M J, Chakravarty S and Takhtajan L A 1993 A self-dual Yang–Mills hierarchy and its reductions to integrable systems in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions *Commun. Math. Phys.* **158** 289–314
- [7] Ablowitz M J, Chakravarty S and Halburd R G 2003 Integrable systems and reductions of the self-dual Yang–Mills equations *J. Math. Phys.* **44** 3147–73
- [8] Mikhailov A V 1981 The reduction problem and the inverse scattering method *Physica D* **3** 73–117
- [9] Villarroel J, Chakravarty S and Ablowitz M J 1996 On a 2+1 Volterra system *Nonlinearity* **9** 1113–28
- [10] Blazsak M and Marciniak K 1994 R-matrix approach to lattice integrable systems *J. Math. Phys.* **35** 4661–82

- [11] Blaszak M and Szum 2001 Lie algebraic approach to the construction of (2+1)-dimensional lattice-field and field integrable Hamiltonian equations *J. Math. Phys.* **42** 225
- [12] Calogero F 1975 A method to generate solvable nonlinear evolution equations *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **14** 443–7
- [13] Calogero F and Degasperis A 1982 *Spectral Transform and Solitons I* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [14] Bogoyavlenskii O I 1990 Overturning solitons in two-dimensional integrable equations *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **45** 17–77
- [15] Gordoia P R and Pickering A 1999 Nonisospectral scattering problems: a key to integrable hierarchies *J. Math. Phys.* **40** 5749–86
- [16] Levi D and Ragnisco O 1979 Nonlinear differential-difference equations with N -dependent coefficients: I *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **12** L157–62
- [17] Levi D and Ragnisco O 1979 Nonlinear differential-difference equations with N -dependent coefficients: II *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **12** L163–7
- [18] Bruschi M and Ragnisco O 1981 Nonlinear differential-difference matrix equations with N -dependent coefficients *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **31** 492–6
- [19] Levi D and Grundland A M 2002 Discrete third-order spectral problems and a new Toda-type equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** L67–73
- [20] Chen H H and Lin C S 1976 Soliton in nonuniform media *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37** 693–7
- [21] Hirota R and Satsuma J 1976 N -soliton solution of the KdV equation with loss and nonuniformity terms *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **41** 2141–2
- [22] Ablowitz M J and Segur H 1981 *Soliton and the Inverse Scattering Transform* (Philadelphia, PA: SIAM)
- [23] Levi D, Ragnisco O and Rodriguez M A 1993 On nonisospectral flows, Painlevé equations, and symmetries of differential and difference equations *Theor. Math. Phys.* **93** 1409–14
- [24] Adler V É, Shabat A B and Yamilov R I 2000 Symmetry approach to the integrability problem *Theor. Math. Phys.* **125** 1603–61
- [25] Gordoia P R, Pickering A and Zhu Z N 2005 A nonisospectral extension of the Volterra hierarchy to 2+1 dimensions *J. Math. Phys.* **46** 103509
- [26] Gordoia P R, Pickering A and Zhu Z N 2006 A 2+1 nonisospectral integrable lattice hierarchy related to a generalized discrete second Painlevé hierarchy *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **29** 862–70
- [27] Gordoia P R, Pickering A and Zhu Z N 2007 New 2+1 dimensional nonisospectral Toda lattice hierarchy *J. Math. Phys.* **48** 023515